1 2 3 4 5 6	HERRERA KENNEDY LLP Shawn M. Kennedy (SBN 218472) skennedy@herrerakennedy.com Bret D. Hembd (SBN 272826) bhembd@herrerakennedy.com 4590 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 500 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (949) 936-0900 Fax: (855) 969-2050 HERRERA KENNEDY LLP Nicomedes Sy Herrera (SBN 275332) nherrera@herrerakennedy.com	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP Michael W. Sobol (SBN 194857) msobol@lchb.com Melissa Gardner (SBN 289096) mgardner@lchb.com 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Tel: (415) 956-1000 Fax: (415) 956-1008 BURNS CHAREST LLP Warren T. Burns (Pro Hac Vice)						
7 8	Laura E. Seidl (SBN 269891) lseidl@herrerakennedy.com 1300 Clay Street, Suite 600 Oakland, CA 94612	wburns@burnscharest.com 900 Jackson Street, Suite 500 Dallas, TX 75202 Tel: (469) 904-4550						
9	Tel: (510) 422-4700 Fax: (855) 969-2050	Fax: (469) 444-5002						
10 11	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP	BURNS CHAREST LLP Christopher J. Cormier (Pro Hac Vice) ccormier@burnscharest.com						
12	Rachel Geman (Pro Hac Vice) rgeman@lchb.com	4725 Wisconsin Avenue, NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20016						
13	Rhea Ghosh (Pro Hac Vice) rghosh@lchb.com	Tel: (202) 577-3977 Fax: (469) 444-5002						
14 15	250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor New York, NY 10013-1413 Tel: (212) 355-9500 Fax: (212) 355-9592							
16	Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel							
17								
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
19	UAKLANI	D DIVISION						
20	IN RE PLAID INC. PRIVACY LITIGATION	Master Docket No.: 4:20-cv-03056-DMR						
21		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF						
22	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	SETTLEMENT						
23	ALL ACTIONS	Hon. Donna M. Ryu						
24		Action Filed: May 4, 2020 Trial Date: None Set						
2526								
27								
28								
20								

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs James Cottle and Frederick Schoeneman filed a Complaint and commenced the action entitled *Cottle et al. v. Plaid Inc.*, No. 4:20-cv-03056-DMR ("Cottle Action"), and the Court granted a stipulation to consolidate the Cottle Action with four-subsequently-filed related actions to form the action pending before the Court and entitled *In re Plaid Inc. Privacy*, No. 4:20-cv-03056-DMR (N.D. Cal.) (collectively, the "Action") (Dkt. 57);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Caroline Anderson, James Cottle, Rachel Curtis, David Evans, Logan Mitchell, Alexis Mullen, Jordan Sacks, Frederick Schoeneman, Gabriel Sotelo, Jeffrey Umali, and Nicholas Yeomelakis filed a Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint (Dkt. 61) and subsequently entered into an Agreement with Defendant Plaid Inc. that, if approved, would settle the Action;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have moved, pursuant to Rule 23(e), for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of this Action pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Parties' Agreement (the "Motion"); and

WHEREAS, the Court, having considered the Motion, the Agreement together with all exhibits and attachments thereto, the record in the Action, the parties' briefs, and arguments of counsel,

NOW THEREFORE, THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. <u>Settlement Terms.</u> Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms herein shall have the same definitions as set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Agreement" or "Settlement Agreement") between Defendant Plaid Inc. ("Plaid") and Plaintiffs Caroline Anderson, James Cottle, Rachel Curtis, David Evans, Logan Mitchell, Alexis Mullen, Jordan Sacks, Frederick Schoeneman, Gabriel Sotelo, Jeffrey Umali, and Nicholas Yeomelakis ("Plaintiffs") (collectively, the "Parties").
- 2. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and all parties to the Action, including members of the Class.
- 3. <u>Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement Agreement.</u> The Court finds that, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing, the Parties' proposed Settlement

Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and within the range of possible final approval considering the possible damages at issue and defenses to overcome. The Court also finds that the Settlement Agreement: (a) is the result of extensive, serious, informed, non-collusive, and arm's length negotiations involving experienced counsel familiar with the legal and factual issues of this case and made with the assistance of the mediation services of Hon. Jay Gandhi (Ret.); (b) meets all applicable requirements of law, including Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. §1715; (c) is likely to be certified as a class for settlement purposes pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and (d), has no obvious deficiencies. Therefore, the Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement, including the terms and conditions for settlement and dismissal as set forth therein.

- 4. <u>Settlement Class.</u> The Class consists of all natural persons in the United States and who own or owned one or more Financial Accounts that: (1) Plaid accessed using the user's login credentials and connected to a mobile or web-based fintech application that enables payments (including ACH payments) or other money transfers; or (2) for which a user provided financial account login credentials to Plaid through Plaid Link, at the time such persons resided in the United States from January 1, 2013 through the date of this Order granting preliminary approval of the Settlement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Financial Account does not include an account that was connected, or for which credentials were provided, exclusively through an OAuth Process or Managed OAuth Process.
- 5. The following entities and individuals are not Class Members: (a) Plaid and any and all of its predecessors, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, and attorneys, and any and all of the parents', subsidiaries', and affiliates' present and former predecessors, successors, assigns, directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, and attorneys; (b) any judicial officer presiding over the Action, or any member of his or her immediate family or of his or her judicial staff; and (c) any Excluded Class Member.

- 6. <u>Class Representatives.</u> The Court appoints Plaintiffs Caroline Anderson, James Cottle, Rachel Curtis, David Evans, Logan Mitchell, Alexis Mullen, Jordan Sacks, Frederick Schoeneman, Gabriel Sotelo, Jeffrey Umali, and Nicholas Yeomelakis as Class Representatives.
- 7. <u>Class Counsel.</u> The Court appoints Christopher Cormier, Burns Charest LLP; Shawn Kennedy, Herrera Kennedy LLP, and Rachel Geman, Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP as Class Counsel.
- 8. <u>Settlement Administrator</u>. The Court hereby approves Angeion Group, LLC to act as Settlement Administrator. Angeion Group, LLC shall be required to perform all the duties of the Settlement Administrator as set forth in the Agreement and this Order.
- 9. Qualified Settlement Fund. Angeion Group, LLC is authorized to establish the Settlement Fund under 26 C.F.R. §§ 1.468B-1 for federal tax purposes. The Settlement Fund shall be a court-approved Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") for federal tax purposes pursuant to Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-1. Plaid shall be the "transferor" to the QSF within the meaning of Section 1.468B-1(d)(1) of the Treasury Regulations with respect to the Settlement Fund. The Settlement Administrator shall be the "administrator" of the QSF within the meaning of Section 1.468B-2(k)(3) of the Treasury Regulations, responsible for causing the filing of all tax returns required to be filed by or with respect to the QSF, paying from the QSF any taxes owed by or with respect to the QSF, and complying with any applicable information reporting or tax withholding requirements imposed by Section 1.468B-2(l)(2) of the Treasury Regulations or any other applicable law on or with respect to the QSF. Plaid shall provide to the Settlement Administrator any documentation required for the Settlement Administrator to facilitate obtaining QSF status for the Settlement Fund pursuant to Treas. Reg. §1.468B-1. All taxes on income or interest generated by the Settlement Fund, if any, shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund.
- 10. <u>Class Notice.</u> The Court finds that the content, format, and method of disseminating notice as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and documents in support of Plaintiffs' Motion is appropriate notice. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action Settlement attached to the Settlement Agreement, the Notice Plan, the Settlement Website, and the

forms of notice submitted with Plaintiffs' Motion. The Court finds that dissemination of the class notice, as proposed in the Settlement Agreement and in the Notice Plan, meets the requirements of Rule 23 and due process, and further constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves such notice and directs that such notice be disseminated in the manner set forth in the proposed Settlement Agreement under Rule 23.

- 11. <u>Objection and Exclusion Deadline.</u> Class Members who wish either to object to the Settlement or to exclude themselves from the Settlement must do so by the Objection and Exclusion Deadline of _______, 2021 both of which are thirty-five (35) days after the Notice Date.
- 12. Exclusion from Settlement Class. To submit a request for exclusion, Class Members must follow the directions in the Notice, send a compliant request to the Class Administrator at the address designated in the Class notice, and be post-marked by the Exclusion Deadline. To be valid, the request for exclusion must: (a) be in writing and mailed; (b) contain the name and case number of this Action, *In re Plaid Inc. Privacy Litigation*, No. 4:20-cv-03056); (c) contain the full name, mailing address, and email address or telephone number of the Class member; (d) contain the words "Notification of Exclusion" or a statement that the Class member wishes to be excluded from the Settlement; and (e) contain the handwritten or electronically imaged written (*e.g.*, "DocuSign") signature of the Class member. An attorney's signature or a typed signature will not satisfy this requirement. Further, no request for exclusion may be made on behalf of a group of Class Members.
- 14. Objections to the Settlement. Any Class member who is not an Excluded Class Member may object to the settlement. Class Members who wish to object to the settlement must make a written statement objecting to the Settlement. Such written statement must be filed at any

location of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California; mailed to the Clerk of Court, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612; or sent to the Settlement Administrator at the address specified in the Notice of Class Action Settlement no later than the Objection/Exclusion Deadline set by this Order.

15. Any objection must: (a) be in writing; (b) contain the name and case number of this Action, *In re Plaid Inc. Privacy Litigation*, No. 4:20-cv-03056); (c) the objector's full name and mailing address, email address, or telephone number, and personal signature; (d) the objector's basis for believing they are a Class member; (e) a statement whether the objector intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through counsel, and, if through counsel, identifying counsel by name, address, and phone number; and (f) a clear and concise statement of the grounds for their objection.

16. The date of the postmark on the envelope containing the written statement objecting to the settlement shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether an objection and/or intention to appear has been timely submitted. In the event a postmark is illegible, the date of mailing shall be deemed to be three (3) days prior to the date the Settlement Administrator received the written statement.

17. Class Members who fail to file and serve timely written objections in the manner specified herein and the Notice Plan shall be deemed to have waived any objections and shall be forever barred from making any objection to the Agreement and the proposed settlement by appearing at the Final Approval Hearing, appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

18. Objecting Class Members may appear at the Final Approval Hearing and be heard. If an objecting Class member chooses to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, a notice of intention to appear should be filed with the Court or postmarked no later than the Objection Deadline.

19. All members of the Class, except those who submit timely requests for exclusion will be bound by all determinations and judgments in this Action; whether favorable or unfavorable to the Class.

20. <u>Submission of Claims</u>. To participate in the Settlement, Class Members must follow the directions in the Settlement Agreement, Notice, and submit an Approved Claim with the Claims Administrator by the Claims Deadline of _______, which is ninety (90) days after the settlement Notice Date. Class Members who do not submit a claim and those who do not submit Approved Claims will not receive a cash award, but they will be bound by the Settlement.

21. The Settlement Administrator shall review all claims to determine their validity and shall employ reasonable procedures to screen claims for abuse and fraud. The Settlement Administrator may reject any claim that is not submitted by a Class member; is a duplicate of another claim; is reasonably suspected to be fraudulent; or is submitted after the Claims Deadline. The Settlement Administrator shall determine whether a Claim Form submitted by a Class member is an Approved Claim and shall reject Claim Forms that fail to comply in any material respect with the instructions in the Notice Plan or the terms of the Agreement.

22. <u>Schedule of Future Events.</u> The Court adopts the Plaintiffs proposed schedule of future events as set forth below:

Event	Date
Deadline to substantially complete notice	70 days after entry of the Court's
pursuant to Notice Plan ("Notice Date")	Preliminary Approval Order
Deadline for Class Counsel's motions for	
final approval and for attorneys' fees,	35 days before Objection/Exclusion
costs, and service awards.	Deadline
Objection / Exclusion Deadline	35 days after Notice Date
Deadline for Parties to file a written	
response to any comment or objection	
filed by a class member	50 days after Notice Date
Claims Deadline	90 days after Notice Date
	Not less than 160 days after entry of the
	Preliminary Approval Order, or as soon
Final Approval Hearing	thereafter as is convenient for the Court

23. Final Approval	Hearing.	A	Final	Approval	Hearing	is	scheduled	for
, 2021 at	for the C	ourt	to dete	rmine whet	her the pro	opos	sed settlemen	nt of

the Action, pursuant to the terms and conditions provided in the Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and should be finally approved by the Court; whether to certify the settlement Class under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23; whether a Judgment should be entered; to determine any amount of fees, costs, and expenses that should be awarded to Class Counsel; and to determine the amount of any Service Award to Plaintiffs. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Class Members, and the Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement. The Court may approve the Settlement with such modifications as may be agreed to by the settling parties, if appropriate, without further notice to the Class.

24. Stay of Proceedings. On June 16, 2021, the parties filed a stipulation to stay all non-settlement proceedings in the Action, pending finalization and execution of a long form settlement agreement, which was granted on June 17, 2021. (Dkt. 132, 133) All proceedings in this Action are stayed until further ordered by this Court, except as may be necessary to implement the Settlement or comply with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Pending final determination of whether the proposed Settlement should be approved, neither Plaintiffs nor any Class member, directly or indirectly, representatively, or in any other capacity, shall commence or prosecute against Plaid any action, or proceeding in any court or tribunal asserting any of the Plaintiffs' Released Claims.

25. If the Settlement is not approved or consummated for any reason whatsoever, the Settlement and all proceedings in connection with the Settlement will be without prejudice to the right of Plaid or the Class Representatives to assert any right or position that could have been asserted if the Agreement had never been reached or proposed to the Court, except insofar as the Agreement expressly provides to the contrary. In such an event, the certification of the Class will be deemed vacated.

26. No Admission of Liability. By entering this Order, the Court does not make any determination as to the merits of this case. Preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement is not a finding of admission or liability by Plaid. Furthermore, the Agreement and any and all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it will not be deemed or constructed to be an admission

or evidence of any violation of any statute, law, rule, regulation, or principle of common law or equity, or of any liability or wrongdoing by Plaid or any Class member, or the truth of any of the claims in this Action. 27. <u>Retention of Jurisdiction</u>. The Court retains jurisdiction over the Action to consider all further matters arising out of, or connected to, the Settlement Agreement and the settlement process as described herein and/or permitted under applicable law. 28. The Court may approve the Settlement with such modifications as may be agreed by the Parties and approved by the Court, if appropriate, without further notice to the class. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: , 2021 Hon. Donna M. Ryu UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE -8-